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Abstract: Data integration as well as other data wrangling tasks account for a great deal of the difficulties in data analysis and frequently constitute the most tedious part of the overall analysis process. We describe a new system, ConceptMix, which radically simplifies analytical data integration for a broad range of non-IT users who do not possess deep knowledge in mathematics or statistics. ConceptMix relies on a novel unified data model, called the concept-oriented model (COM), which provides formal background for its functionality.

1 INTRODUCTION

The existing approaches to data analysis have been pushed to the limits of their ability to solve more and more complex tasks especially in the context of several significant modern trends over the last few years which are shortly described below.

New types of users. There is a new large class of users which includes such (overlapping) categories as data enthusiasts, casual users, data artisans and business users. They do not possess deep knowledge in mathematics and statistics but need some simple to use yet powerful tool to solve a problem or answer a question by analyzing available data (Hanrahan, 2012).

Self-service tools. Self-service tools are opposed to traditional corporate BI tools and are aimed at giving users the ability to solve analytical tasks with little or no help from IT. Examples of self-service tools include Microsoft Excel, QlikView, Tableau (Morton et al., 2012; Morton et al., 2014), ManyEyes (Viégas et al., 2007), Fusion Tables (Gonzalez et al., 2010), Fusion Cubes (Abelló et al., 2013).

Agile analytics. Agile analytics goes beyond standard OLAP analysis by facilitating ad-hoc queries where the user can freely vary data processing and/or visualization parameters and is not restricted by predefined scenarios (Löser, Hueske & Markl, 2008; Thiele & Lehner, 2012; Idreos & Liarou, 2013).

Analytical computations. Analysis is not limited by querying data and standard operations like grouping and aggregation. Analysts need to embed complex computations in their analysis tasks.

Near real time analytics. There is strong demand in reducing the time between data acquisition and making a business decision but conventional systems cannot provide the necessary response time and agility of decision making on large volumes of data (Chaudhuri, Dayal & Narasayya, 2011; Thiele & Lehner, 2012).

Companies and organizations have access to many corporate and external data sources (Fig. 1a). However, a frequent problem is that none of them has data the user needs for analysis. Before data can be processed by a visual analysis tool (Fig. 1c), it has to be represented in a required format which can be consumed by the system. This step in the larger data analysis and decision making cycle (Fig. 1b) is normally performed by experts from the IT department. It involves a number of more specific tasks like finding and provisioning relevant data, cleaning and integrating data, error detection, data enrichment, schema matching, data profiling and
many others. These data wrangling (Kandel et al., 2011) tasks account for a great deal or even most of the difficulties in data analysis and constitute the most tedious and error-prone part of the overall analysis process.

Most currently existing technologies and tools for solving data wrangling tasks belong to the standard BI-stack and are intended for highly experienced IT-users. In the presence of many next generation data visualization tools like Tableau and QlikView, the need in equal support of data wrangling is especially acute because such tasks as data provisioning are almost not covered. In many cases, the only provided feature is the possibility to join two tables using one attribute. If the user wants to apply some more complex data transformations then it can be done only by heavier tools that do not meet the modern requirements to self-service analytics. Applying different systems and data analysis paradigms for data wrangling and data visualization leads to frequent context switches and interruptions of the natural flow of analysis (Morton et al., 2012). The users are not able to produce the necessary results just because they are not able to integrate multiple heterogeneous data sources and represent this data in the format suitable for visual exploration.

The main goal of ConceptMix is to provide full support for various data wrangling operations but at the same time to meet the requirements to next generation analysis systems. ConceptMix is a self-service tool for analytical data integration and arbitrary data transformations intended for non-IT users. In addition to the requirements to the next generation analysis tools (self-service, agile analytics and support for analytical computations in near-real time), ConceptMix is designed to meet several more specific requirements to data integration and transformation systems which are described below.

Multiple heterogeneous data sources. The main problem here is in supporting various views on data and data modeling paradigms.

Arbitrary schema transformations. Schema transformations are needed to produce data with the desired structure. In particular, it is not enough to define one output table and it is not enough to define several isolated output tables.

Arbitrary data transformations. In addition to defining a schema, it is necessary to precisely define data in this schema which will be either copied from source data or computed. The difficulty is that this data has to be expressed in terms of multiple data sources as well as data in this same schema.

Assistance and automatic recommendations. A typical enterprise system can contain tens of thousands data tables and open systems can involve even more external data sources. In this situation, it is extremely difficult to get meaningful results without some help from the system. The system should be able to make relevant and meaningful suggestions as well as automatically detect formal errors and semantic inconsistencies. This feature involves quite many data analysis methods including data enrichment, schema matching, foreign key discovery, entity resolution and others.

Reasoning about data. Analytical queries are rather complex data processing scripts over numerous data sources and writing such queries is a tedious and error-prone task requiring high expertise. The mechanism of reasoning about data can significantly simplify this task by automatically deriving the desired result from the available data. The user has to specify the criteria for the answer and the system automatically derives the result from the available data.

Developing a technology that meets all the above requirements is a highly non-trivial task. Saying that numerous specific data management technologies can be significantly simplified without sacrificing their functionality can be perceived with a great portion of skepticism because it requires rethinking the existing paradigms and views on data. Yet, such simplification of analytical data integration is a primary goal of ConceptMix. The main enabler of ConceptMix that underlies its functions is a novel approach to data modeling, called the concept-oriented model (COM) (Savinov, 2014b; 2012c; 2011a). COM answers the question what is data and rethinks basic assumptions underlying the notion of data. Its main goal and benefit is that it radically simplifies data modeling by unifying major existing views on data (generality), using only a few main notions (simplicity) which are very close to how data is used in real life (naturalness).

In its most abstract form, COM is described by means of sets and functions. A set is a number of data elements and it is analogous to such notions as table, relation or collection in other models. A function is a mapping from one set to another set which is used to represent a property, attribute or field. The primary distinction of COM from other models is that an element is defined as a couple of one identity tuple and one entity tuple. An identity is a value with domain-specific structure which also plays a role of reference by providing access to constituents of the associated entity. An entity is data represented by-reference, that is, by using its identity. Such identity-entity couples are modeled by means of a novel data modeling construct, called concept (hence the name of the model), which is a couple of one identity class and one entity class. Functions are represented by concept fields which in COM are referred to as dimensions.
The concept-oriented query language (COQL) (Savinov, 2014a; 2012a; 2011b) is a syntactic embodiment of COM. ConceptMix uses a modified version of this language, called the concept-oriented expression language (COEL), the purpose of which is similar to that of the Microsoft Data Analysis Expressions (DAX) (Russo, Ferrari & Webb, 2012). An important principle of COM is that all elements, sets and concepts are partially ordered. This means that a reference always points to a greater element, a function is a mapping from a lesser set to a greater set, and a dimension type is a greater concept. A typical concept-oriented schema is shown in Fig. 2. The main benefit of partial order is that it can represent quite different models and semantic relationships (Savinov, 2012c): multidimensional, entity-relationship, general-specific, containment, object-orientation, attribute-value.

![Greater concept](image)

![Lesser concept](image)

Figure 2: Example of a concept-oriented model.

The purpose of this paper is to describe principles of ConceptMix, a self-service analytical data integration system that is designed to meet the above requirements and relying on the unified theoretical background provided by COM. Section 2 describes a sample data processing scenario and the vision behind the system. Section 3 describes main functions ConceptMix provides for analytical data integration and Section 4 makes concluding remarks.

## 2 THE VISION

Let us assume that a company sells products to customers and the task is to explore how order cancellations depend on other factors. More specifically, it is necessary to build a chart showing how order cancellations depend on the product price (Fig. 3). This chart should show the number of cancelled orders and the total cost of the cancelled orders (as percentage of all orders for this price group) against price groups displayed along axis X.

In order to build such a chart, we need data to be represented as a table with three columns: PriceGroup, CancelledCount, CancelledCost. This task cannot be easily solved by typical visual analysis tools because of the following difficulties:

- **Multiple data sources.** Data is loaded from two unrelated data sources: a product catalog (table Products) and a sales database (tables Items, Orders and Status). Missing relationships have to be reconstructed.
- **No dimension table.** Table PriceGroups with price groups does not exist in any data source. It has to be created by defining what products belong to what group depending it the product price.
- **No measure attributes.** Attributes describing order cancellations (CancelledCount and CancelledCost) do not exist in the source data tables and have to be computed for the new table with price groups.

![Cancelled orders: % count, % amount](image)

![Output table](image)

Figure 3: Example of analytical data integration.

The traditional approach to solving this problem consists in writing a data transformation script. Such a script can be represented as a graph where nodes represent data and edges are operations. The main problem is that even if a tool provides convenient visual interface for authoring such scripts, the user still has to understand the meaning of operations and what sequence of operations will lead to the desired result. In most cases, data transformations are based on table join and groupings which are quite difficult for non-IT users (Atzeni et al., 2013).

ConceptMix uses a novel approach which is conceptually illustrated in Fig. 4. The user creates a new data mash-up by applying drag-and-drop operation to existing elements. The system then suggests a relevant definition for a new data element...
(table or column) by taking into account the current context and data semantics. New elements can always be defined by writing an expression or editing the suggested definition.

**SCHEMA**

**DEFINATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orders</td>
<td>Id</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Id</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Customers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinks</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics</td>
<td>10,543</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>3,825</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toys</td>
<td>23,876</td>
<td>1,539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATA**

![ConceptMix UI principles](image)

Figure 4: ConceptMix UI principles.

The scenario implemented in ConceptMix consists of the following steps:

**Importing data.** Load data from the sales database as three tables: Items, Orders, and Status. The system loads also all available meta-data including foreign and primary keys which are important for further processing. Load also Products from the product database.

**Defining links.** Connect the Items table with the Products table by defining a new column in the Items table pointing to the Products. The system will use this link when making recommendations and querying data.

**Defining a new table.** Extract a new table PriceGroups from the table Products by simultaneously creating a link so that each product points to the price group it belongs to.

**Defining new columns.** Define two new columns of the PriceGroup table by dragging the table Status and dropping it to the table PriceGroup. The system will suggest a relevant definition by using COUNT aggregation function.

In general, the idea is that the user authors a mash-up which is kept updated as the operations are being performed so that the user can immediately see the results. This mash-up is a normal data schema consisting of tables with columns. Every element in this mash up (table or column) has some definition in terms of already existing elements. ConceptMix distinguishes two major procedures: *table definition* (and population of the new derived table) described in Section 3.1 and *column definition* (and population of the new derived column) described in Section 3.2.

## 3 DATA PROCESSING ENGINE

### 3.1 Derived Tables

The goal of this procedure is to define a new table by using already existing tables. COM provides two basic operations that can be used for defining new tables: *product* of existing tables and *projection* along an existing column. These operations have several more specific use cases.

**Product.** To define a new product-table it is necessary to specify one or more existing tables as well as a filter condition for selecting combinations of their records. The new table will contain all combinations of the specified source tables which satisfy the filter condition. Note however that internally the system will store only pointers to the source records without copying the real data. This approach is frequently used in multidimensional analysis. For example, we might want to build a table PriceGroupsAndStatus with all combinations of price groups and order statuses which is defined by the following expression:

```sql
SET PriceGroupsAndStatus = PRODUCT (PriceGroups, Status)  
```

One particular case of this operation is where it is necessary to restrict one source table. In this case, the result will contain a subset of the source table and only some filter condition has to be provided by the user. For example, expensive products could be selected by the following expressions:

```sql
SET ExpensiveProducts = PRODUCT (Products | Price > 1000)  
```

The new filtered table is included in the source table by inheriting all its properties and without copying the real data.

**Projection.** Project is an operation which creates a new table from all (unique) outputs of one column. Formally, a new set will contain all output values of one function evaluated for the input values of the source set. Project and de-project are two operations of the novel *arrow notation* (Savinov, 2012a). It is analogous to the conventional dot notation with the difference that there are two operations (project and de-project) and these operations are applied to sets rather than to instances.

This operation can be used for finding all unique values in a table or grouping elements of the source table by extracting groups into a separate table. For example, a new table with price groups can be built.
by projecting all Products along column PriceCategory:

```sql
SET PriceGroups =
Products -> PriceCategory
```

If it is necessary to combine several columns then it is always possible to define a new linked column returning a tuple as described in the next section.

## 3.2 Derived Columns

Users of ConceptMix can add new columns to tables of the analytic mash-up. What is new here is that these columns can collect data from all other columns in the schema rather than from only the current data record or the current table. Theoretically, it is possible to define any derived column using an expression in COEL because a column is a function that maps inputs to outputs. However, ConceptMix provides several separate functions for defining different column types depending on the type of expression and the purpose of the new column. The following column types can be created: arithmetic columns (for primitive values), link columns (for complex values), aggregated columns (for aggregating data stored in other columns), case columns (for grouping records). Below we describe these types of columns in more details.

**Arithmetic columns.** The user of ConceptMix can define a new column which computes its output value by using other columns of this table. Formally, a new column is a function of other columns. For example, a new column TotalPrice of the table Items returning double values can be computed as the item price multiplied by the number of items:

```java
Double TotalPrice =
this.Price * this.ItemCount
```

It is always possible to use dot notation to access data in other tables.

**Link columns.** These columns return a tuple, that is, a complex value which combines several other values. Such columns are used to create a link between two existing columns by describing a mapping between individual attributes. In terms of the relational model, they are analogous to foreign keys (FK) but there are some significant conceptual differences. In particular, a link is defined as a normal column at the level of the schema rather than at the level of a query in the case of FKs. Also, a link column describes a function, that is, what data this new column will store while FK describes a constraint. Link columns are also used for describing complex mapping between tables and for use in the projection operation where output tuples describe elements of a new table.

A new link column is defined as an expression that returns a tuple. Tuples in COEL are written in parentheses as a comma separated list of attribute-value pairs. For example, a new order item could be represented as the following tuple: TUPLE (Order=1234, Product=2345). Tuple components can themselves be tuples. For example, order number can be written as a tuple: TUPLE (Order=(OrderID=25, Status="Cancelled"), ProductID=35). Note that tuple constituents can be arbitrary expressions. A new column Product which links the Items table to the Products table is defined as a tuple with one constituent:

```java
Double Product = TUPLE (Integer ID = this.ProductID )
```

**Aggregated columns.** An aggregated column is a special system function which processes groups of values stored in another column. To specify an aggregated column it is necessary to provide the following parameters:

- **Fact table** stores records which have to be broken into groups for aggregation
- **Grouping column** specifies records from the fact table that belong to one group
- **Measure column** stores the values to be aggregated
- **Aggregation function** is a method of aggregation like sum or average. Custom aggregation functions are also possible.

For example, the total order amount (a new aggregated column of the Orders table) is computed as follows:

```java
Double TotalAmount = AGGREGATE ( Items, Order, TotalPrice, SUM )
```

The system will break records from the table Items into groups depending on the values returned by the column Order. Then it will sum up values of the column TotalPrice for each individual group. All these computations are performed for one pass through the fact table.

This definition uses existing columns (measure and grouping) which have to be defined before the new aggregated column can be defined. For example, TotalPrice in the above expression is a derived column. However, it is possible to define these columns in the context of the aggregation function. Also, an aggregated column could be part of other expressions. An alternative way to define aggregation is using de-projection (Savinov, 2012a).

**Case columns.** The main purpose of these columns is to group records of the table by assigning an explicitly specified value depending on some condition evaluated for the current record. It is roughly corresponds to SQL case expressions but is
used to define new functions by specifying an output depending on which condition is satisfied. For example, if we want to break (partition) all products into several groups depending on their price then we specify price intervals (conditions) and the corresponding output values of this column.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented a conceptual vision for a next generation analytical data integration system by rethinking main principles behind such systems. We described how these general principles are implemented in ConceptMix – a self-service tool for analytical data integration intended for solving a wide range of typical data wrangling tasks which precede the visual analysis step.

In future, we are going to extend this technology by developing a powerful assistance engine which will leverage the semantic properties of COM. This includes recommendations for schema mappings, relationships, aggregations, imports and others. Another novel function to be added in the future is selection propagation which leverages the inference capabilities of COM (Savinov, 2012b; 2006). Also, we will develop an optimizer for translating expressions into an efficient code for execution in the column-oriented data processing engine.
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